arXiv:1507.06781v2 [math.FA] 21 Aug 2015

MOMENT PROBLEM FOR SYMMETRIC ALGEBRAS OF LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

M. GHASEMI[*], M. INFUSINO[†], S. KUHLMANN[†], M. MARSHALL[*]

Abstract. It is explained how a locally convex (lc) topology τ on a real vector space V extends naturally to a locally multiplicatively convex (lmc) topology $\overline{\tau}$ on the symmetric algebra $S(V)$. This allows application of the results on lmc topological algebras obtained by Ghasemi, Kuhlmann and Marshall in [10] to obtain representations of $\overline{\tau}$-continuous linear functionals $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $L(\sum S(V)^{2d}) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ (more generally, of $\overline{\tau}$-continuous linear functionals $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $L(M) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ for some $2d$-power module M of $S(V)$) as integrals with respect to uniquely determined Radon measures μ supported by special sorts of closed balls in the dual space of V. The result is simultaneously more general and less general than the corresponding result of Berezansky, Kondratiev and Šifrin in [3], [4]. It is more general because V can be any locally convex topological space (not just a separable nuclear space), the result holds for arbitrary $2d$-powers (not just squares), and no assumptions of quasi-analyticity are required. It is less general because it is necessary to assume that $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\overline{\tau}$-continuous (not just that L is continuous on the homogeneous parts of degree k of $S(V)$, for each $k \geq 0$).

Murray Marshall passed away in May 2015. We lost a collaborator of many years and a wonderful friend. We sorely miss him. (M. Ghasemi, M. Infusino, S. Kuhlmann)

1. Introduction

For $n \geq 1$, $\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$ denotes the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The multidimensional moment problem is the following. Given a linear functional $L : \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] \to \mathbb{R}$ and a closed subset Y of $\mathbb{R}^n$ one wants to know when there exists a positive Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ supported on Y such that $L(f) = \int f d\mu \; \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$.

In this paper, we continue to study this problem in the following more general set up. Let A be a commutative ring with 1 which is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra. $X(A)$ denotes the *character space* of A, i.e., the set of all ring homomorphisms (that send 1 to 1) $\alpha : A \to \mathbb{R}$. For $a \in A$, $\hat{a} : X(A) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\hat{a}(\alpha) = \alpha(a)$. The only ring homomorphism from $\mathbb{R}$ to itself

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 44A60 Secondary 14P99.

Key words and phrases. moment problem, sums of $2d$ powers, normed algebras, lmc algebras, tensor norms, symmetric algebras, infinite dimensional moment problem.

is the identity. $X(A)$ is given the weakest topology such that the functions $\hat{a}$, $a \in A$ are continuous. For a topological space X, $C(X)$ denotes the ring of all continuous functions from X to $\mathbb{R}$. The mapping $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ defines a ring homomorphism from A into $\mathrm{C}(X(A))$. Let d be an integer with $d \geq 1$. By a $2d$*-power module* of A we mean a subset M of A satisfying $1 \in M$, $M + M \subseteq M$ and $a^{2d}M \subseteq M$ for each $a \in A$. A $2d$*-power preordering* of A is a $2d$-power module of A which is also closed under multiplication. In the case $d = 1$, $2d$-power modules (resp., $2d$-power preorderings) are referred to as *quadratic modules* (resp., *quadratic preorderings*). For a subset Y of $X(A)$, $\mathrm{Pos}(Y) := \{a \in A : \hat{a} \geq 0 \text{ on } Y\}$ is a quadratic preordering of A. We denote by $\sum A^{2d}$ the set of all finite sums $\sum a_i^{2d}$, $a_i \in A$. $\sum A^{2d}$ is the unique smallest $2d$-power module of A. $\sum A^{2d}$ is closed under multiplication, so $\sum A^{2d}$ is also the unique smallest $2d$-power preordering of A. For $g_1, \dots, g_s \in A$, the $2d$-power module of A generated by $g_1, \dots, g_s$ is $M = \sum A^{2d} + \sum A^{2d}g_1 + \cdots + \sum A^{2d}g_s$.[1] For any subset M of A, $X_M := \{\alpha \in X(A) : \hat{a}(\alpha) \geq 0 \ \forall a \in M\}$. If $M = \sum A^{2d}$ then $X_M = X(A)$. If M is the $2d$-power module of A generated by $g_1, \dots, g_s$ then $X_M := \{\alpha \in X(A) : \hat{g}_i(\alpha) \geq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, s\}$.

A linear functional $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *positive* if $L(\sum A^{2d}) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ and M*-positive* for some 2d-power module M of A, if $L(M) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. For a linear functional $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$, one can consider the set of Radon measures μ on $X(A)$ such that $L(a) = \int \hat{a} d\mu \ \forall a \in A$. The *moment problem* in this general setting is to understand this set of measures, for a given linear functional $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$. In particular, one wants to know if this set is non-empty and in case it is non-empty, when it is a singleton set. We note that the moment problem for $\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$ is a special case. Indeed, ring homomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ correspond to point evaluations $f \mapsto f(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X(\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}])$ is identified (as a topological space) with $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In [11] the general moment problem for the algebra of polynomials in an arbitrary set of variables $\{x_i;\ i \in \Omega\}$ is studied. Several recent papers deal with the general moment problem where the linear functional in question is continuous for a certain topology. For instance, [9] and [20] deal with linear functionals continuous with respect to weighted norm topologies, generalizing [5] and [27]. In [8], [10] and [12] the authors analyze integral representations of linear functionals that are continuous with respect to locally multiplicatively convex topologies. [3, Theorem 2.1], [4], [6], [14], [28, Section 12.5], [15], [16] consider linear functionals on the symmetric algebra of a nuclear space under certain quasi-analyticity assumptions which are less restrictive than continuity. These papers are precursors of the present one. We deal here specifically with linear functionals on the symmetric algebra of a locally convex space (V, τ) which are continuous with respect to the finest locally multiplicatively convex topology $\bar{\tau}$ on the symmetric algebra extending τ.

---

[1] The $2d$-power modules considered here are not assumed to be finitely generated.

In Section 2 we collect terminology and notation about seminorms on real vector spaces and results from [10]; see Theorems 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5. This exposition is continued in Section 4 for families of seminorms. In Section 3 we introduce our set up for the graded symmetric algebra $S(V)$ of a real vector space V. Starting with a seminorm ρ on V, we obtain the quotient seminorm on the k-th homogeneous part $S(V)_k$ of $S(V)$ from the associated tensor seminorm. We then define the projective extension $\overline{\rho}$ of ρ to $S(V)$ and show that it is submultiplicative, see Proposition 3.2. We proceed to describe the character space of $S(V)$ and its Gelfand spectrum with respect to $\overline{\rho}$, see Proposition 3.5. At the end of that section we are in the position to apply the main results of [10] to this situation, see Corollary 3.7. It is interesting to point out that this set up differs from the general case studied in [11]. Here the free $\mathbb{R}$-algebra $\mathbb{R}[\Omega]$ is endowed with a topology (i.e. is studied as a topological real algebra) and the linear functionals under consideration are assumed to be continuous. Section 5 generalizes the results of Section 3 to the case when V is endowed with a locally convex topology τ (i.e., the topology induced by a family of seminorms). The main result of that section is Corollary 5.2. In Section 6 we compare this theorem to the results of [3], [4], [15] and [16], see Remark 6.2.

2. BACKGROUND RESULTS

A $2d$-power module M in A is said to be *archimedean* if for each $a \in A$ there exists an integer k such that $k \pm a \in M$. If M is a $2d$-power module of A which is archimedean then X_M is compact. The converse is false in general (see [23, §7.3] or [18, Example 4.6]).

For simplicity, we assume from now on that A is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra. We record the following representation theorem of T. Jacobi [17].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose M is an archimedean $2d$-power module of A, $d \geq 1$. Then, for any $a \in A$, the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\hat{a} \geq 0$ on X_M.

(2) $a + \epsilon \in M$ for all real $\epsilon > 0$.

Jacobi's result plays a key role in what we are doing here. Theorems 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5 stated below are all based, in one way or another, on this result. Note that the implication (2)⇒(1) is trivial, while (1)⇒(2) is non-trivial. See [2], [19] and [25] for early versions of Jacobi's theorem. See [23] for a short proof in the case $d = 1$. See [12] for a short proof in the general case. See [22] for a generalization.

As specified in the introduction, we consider the general version of the moment problem: Given a linear functional $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$, what can be said about the set of positive Radon measures μ on $X(A)$ satisfying $L(a) = \int \hat{a} d\mu$ $\forall a \in A$? In particular, one wants to know (i) when this set is non-empty, and (ii) if it is non-empty, when it is singleton. One also wants to understand the support of μ. We say that μ is supported by some Borel subset Y of $X(A)$ if $\mu(X(A) \backslash Y) = 0$. If μ is supported by a Borel subset Y of $X(A)$

then obviously L is $\mathrm{Pos}(Y)$-positive. Conversely, if L is M-positive for some $2d$-power module M of A, does this imply that μ is supported by X_M? One would also like to know for which μ and for which $p \geq 1$ the natural map $A \to \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$, $a \mapsto \hat{a}$, has dense image. Recall if (X, μ) is a measure space and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function, then

$$\|f\|_{p,\mu} := \left[\int |f|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p}, \ p \in [1, \infty).$$

The *Lebesgue space* $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$, by definition, is the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space

$$\mathcal{L}^p(\mu) := \{ f : X \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is measurable and } \|f\|_{p,\mu} < \infty \}$$

equipped with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{p,\mu}$[2].

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose M is an archimedean $2d$-power module of A and $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$ a M-positive linear functional. Then there exists a positive Radon measure μ on X_M such that $L(a) = \int \hat{a} d\mu$ $\forall a \in A$.*

Proof. See [12, Corollary 2.6]. The conclusion can be also obtained as a consequence of [8, Theorem 5.5]. $\square$

Since M is archimedean, X_M is compact, so μ is the unique positive Radon measure on $X(A)$ satisfying $L(a) = \int \hat{a} d\mu$ $\forall a \in A$. Also, the image of A in $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ is dense $\forall p \in [1, \infty)$. These are all consequences of the following general result:

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose μ is a positive Radon measure on $X(A)$ having compact support. Then*

(1) μ is determinate, i.e., if ν is any positive Radon measure on $X(A)$ satisfying $\int \hat{a} d\nu = \int \hat{a} d\mu$ $\forall a \in A$, then $\nu = \mu$.

(2) The image of A in $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ under the natural map is dense $\forall$ $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. (1) See [10, Lemma 3.9]. (2) Let Y be a compact subset of $X(A)$ supporting the measure μ. It suffices to show that the step functions $\sum_{j=1}^m r_j \chi_{S_j}$, $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $S_j \subseteq Y$ a Borel set, belong to the closure of the image of A. Using the triangle inequality we are reduced further to the case $m = 1$, $r_1 = 1$. Let $S \subseteq Y$ be a Borel set. Choose K compact, U open in Y such that $K \subseteq S \subseteq U$, $\mu(U \backslash K) < \epsilon$. By Urysohn's lemma there exists a continuous function $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ on Y, $\phi = 1$ on K, $\phi = 0$ on $Y \backslash U$. Then $\|\chi_S - \phi\|_{p,\mu} \leq \epsilon^{1/p}$. Use the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem [30, Theorem 44.7] to get $a \in A$ such that $|\phi(\alpha) - \hat{a}(\alpha)| < \epsilon$ $\forall$ $\alpha \in Y$. Then $\|\phi - \hat{a}\|_{p,\mu} \leq \epsilon \mu(Y)^{1/p}$. Putting these things together yields $\|\chi_S - \hat{a}\|_{p,\mu} \leq \|\chi_S - \phi\|_{p,\mu} + \|\phi - \hat{a}\|_{p,\mu} \leq \epsilon^{1/p} + \epsilon \mu(Y)^{1/p}$. $\square$

---

[2] In definition of $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ we assume that each f is a representative of the class of all functions $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f - g\|_{p,\mu} = 0$, otherwise, $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ is merely a seminormed space.

We recall results from [10]. Recall that a *seminorm* on a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space V is a map $\rho : V \to [0,\infty)$ such that

(1) $\forall\ a \in V$ and $\forall\ r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho(ra) = |r|\rho(a)$, and

(2) $\forall\ a, b \in V$, $\rho(a+b) \le \rho(a) + \rho(b)$.

A *submultiplicative seminorm* on an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra A is a seminorm ρ on A such that

(3) $\forall\ a, b \in A$, $\rho(ab) \le \rho(a)\rho(b)$.

Let ρ be a submultiplicative seminorm of an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra A. Note that if ρ is not identically zero then $\rho(1) \ge 1$. The *Gelfand spectrum* of ρ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{sp}(\rho) :=& \{\alpha \in X(A) : \alpha \text{ is } \rho\text{-continuous}\}\\ =& \{\alpha \in X(A) : |\alpha(a)| \le \rho(a) \text{ for all } a \in A\}.\end{aligned}$$

See [10, Lemma 3.2]. As explained in [10, Corollary 3.3], $\mathfrak{sp}(\rho)$ is compact.[3] We recall the following continuous versions of Jacobi's theorem and Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.4. *If ρ is a submultiplicative seminorm on A and M is a $2d$-power module of A then*

(1) $\overline{M}^{\rho} = \operatorname{Pos}(X_M \cap \mathfrak{sp}(\rho))$ and

(2) $X_{\overline{M}^{\rho}} = X_M \cap \mathfrak{sp}(\rho)$.

In particular, $\overline{\sum A^{2d}}^{\rho} = \operatorname{Pos}(\mathfrak{sp}(\rho))$ and $X_{\overline{\sum A^{2d}}^{\rho}} = \mathfrak{sp}(\rho)$. Here, $\overline{M}^{\rho}$ denotes the closure of M with respect to the seminorm ρ.

Proof. See [10, Theorem 3.7]. □

Remark: (2) can be deduced from (1) using the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem. Consequently, the main result here is (1).

Theorem 2.5. *For each submultiplicative seminorm ρ on A and each integer $d \ge 1$ there is a natural one-to-one correspondence $L \leftrightarrow \mu$ given by $L(a) = \int \hat{a}\, d\mu\ \forall\ a \in A$ between ρ-continuous linear functionals $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $L(\sum A^{2d}) \subseteq [0,\infty)$ and positive Radon measures μ on $X(A)$ supported by $\mathfrak{sp}(\rho)$. For any $2d$-power module M of A, if $L \leftrightarrow \mu$ under this correspondence then L is M-positive iff μ is supported by $X_M \cap \mathfrak{sp}(\rho)$.*

Proof. See [10, Corollary 3.8 and Remark 3.10(i)]. □

Theorem 2.5 applies in some interesting cases. See [5] and [12] for the special case of semigroup algebras. See [10, Section 4] for the application to $*$-seminormed $*$-algebras. See [10, Section 5] for the application to locally multiplicatively convex (lmc) topological $\mathbb{R}$-algebras.

We recall the application to lmc topological $\mathbb{R}$-algebras in a bit more detail in Section 4.

---

[3] Conversely, every compact subset K of $X(A)$ is expressible (non-uniquely) as $K = \mathfrak{sp}(\rho)$ for some submultiplicative seminorm ρ of A. Namely, $K = \mathfrak{sp}(\rho_K)$ where ρ_K is the submultiplicative seminorm on A induced by the sup norm on $C(K)$, i.e., $\rho_K(a) := \sup\{|\hat{a}(\alpha)| : \alpha \in K\}$.

3. SUBMULTIPLICATIVE SEMINORMS ON SYMMETRIC ALGEBRAS

Let V be an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. We denote by $S(V)$ the symmetric algebra of V, i.e., the tensor algebra $T(V)$ factored by the ideal generated by the elements $v \otimes w - w \otimes v$, $v, w \in V$. If we fix a basis x_i, $i \in \Omega$ of V, then $S(V)$ is identified with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x_i : i \in \Omega]$, i.e., the free $\mathbb{R}$-algebra in commuting variables x_i, $i \in \Omega$. The algebra $S(V)$ is a graded algebra. Denote by $S(V)_k$ the k-th homogeneous part of $S(V)$, $k \geq 0$, i.e., the image of k-th homogeneous part $V^{\otimes k}$ of $T(V)$ under the canonical map

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{ik} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i1} \cdots f_{ik}.$$

Here, $f_{ij} \in V$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$ and $n \geq 1$. Note that $S(V)_0 = \mathbb{R}$ and $S(V)_1 = V$.

Suppose (V_i, ρ_i) are seminormed $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces, $i = 1, 2$. The *tensor seminorm* $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ on $V_1 \otimes V_2$ is defined by

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)(f) := \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_1(f_{i1})\rho_2(f_{i2}) : f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i1} \otimes f_{i2},\ f_{ij} \in V_j,\ n \geq 1\}.$$

If ρ_1, ρ_2 are norms, then $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ is a norm. See [13, Chapter 1, Proposition 1] for the proof. If (V_i, ρ_i) are seminormed $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, then $\rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_k$ is defined recursively, i.e.,

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_k)(f) := \\ \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_1(f_{i1}) \cdots \rho_k(f_{ik}) : f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{ik},\ f_{ij} \in V_j,\ n \geq 1\}.$$

If all the (V_i, ρ_i) are equal, say $(V_i, \rho_i) = (V, \rho)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, the associated tensor seminorm $\rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_k$ on $V^{\otimes k}$ will be denoted simply by $\rho^{\otimes k}$.

Suppose now that ρ is a seminorm on V and $\pi_k : V^{\otimes k} \to S(V)_k$ is the canonical map. For $k \geq 1$ define $\overline{\rho}_k$ to be the quotient seminorm on $S(V)_k$ induced by $\rho^{\otimes k}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\rho}_k(f) &:= \inf\{\rho^{\otimes k}(g) : g \in V^{\otimes k},\ \pi_k(g) = f\} \\ &= \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(f_{i1}) \cdots \rho(f_{ik}) : f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i1} \cdots f_{ik}, f_{ij} \in V, n \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\overline{\rho}_0$ to be the usual absolute value on $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $k = i + j$, $f \in S(V)_i$, $g \in S(V)_j$, then $\overline{\rho}_k(fg) \leq \overline{\rho}_i(f)\overline{\rho}_j(g)$.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in S(V)_i$, $g \in S(V)_j$ and $f = \sum_p f_{p1} \cdots f_{pi}$, $g = \sum_q g_{q1} \cdots g_{qj}$. Then $fg = \sum_{p,q} f_{p1} \cdots f_{pi} g_{q1} \cdots g_{qj}$, so:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\rho}_k(fg) \le &\sum_{p,q} \rho(f_{p1})\cdots\rho(f_{pi})\rho(g_{q1})\cdots\rho(g_{qj})\\ =&(\sum_p \rho(f_{p1})\cdots\rho(f_{pi}))(\sum_q \rho(g_{q1})\cdots\rho(g_{qj})).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\overline{\rho}_k(fg) \le \overline{\rho}_i(f)\overline{\rho}_j(g)$. □

We extend ρ to a submultiplicative seminorm $\overline{\rho}$ on $S(V)$ as follows: For $f = f_0 + \cdots + f_\ell$, $f_k \in S(V)_k$, $k = 0, \dots, \ell$, define

$$\overline{\rho}(f) := \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \overline{\rho}_k(f_k).$$

We refer to $\overline{\rho}$ as *the projective extension* of ρ to $S(V)$.

Proposition 3.2. *$\overline{\rho}$ is a submultiplicative seminorm on $S(V)$ extending the seminorm ρ on V.*

Proof. Clearly $\overline{\rho}$ is a seminorm on $S(V)$. Also, $\overline{\rho}_1 = \rho$, so $\overline{\rho}$ extends ρ. Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^m f_i$, $g = \sum_{j=0}^n g_j$, $f_i \in S(V)_i$, $g_j \in S(V)_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\rho}(fg) =&\overline{\rho}(\sum_{i,j} f_i g_j) = \overline{\rho}(\sum_k \sum_{i+j=k} f_i g_j)\\ =&\sum_k \overline{\rho}_k(\sum_{i+j=k} f_i g_j) \le \sum_k \sum_{i+j=k} \overline{\rho}_k(f_i g_j)\\ \le&\sum_k \sum_{i+j=k} \overline{\rho}_i(f_i)\overline{\rho}_j(g_j) = \sum_{i,j} \overline{\rho}_i(f_i)\overline{\rho}_j(g_j)\\ =&(\sum_i \overline{\rho}_i(f_i))(\sum_j \overline{\rho}_j(g_j)) = \overline{\rho}(f)\overline{\rho}(g).\end{aligned}$$

This proves $\overline{\rho}$ is submultiplicative. □

The algebra $S(V)$ is characterized by the following *universal property:* For each $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\pi : V \to A$, where A is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra (commutative with 1), there exists a unique $\mathbb{R}$-algebra homomorphism $\overline{\pi} : S(V) \to A$ extending π.

Suppose now that A is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra equipped with submultiplicative seminorm σ and $\pi : V \to A$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and continuous with respect to ρ and σ, i.e., $\exists\ C > 0$ such that $\sigma(\pi(f)) \le C\rho(f)\ \forall\ f \in V$. Then $\overline{\pi}$ *need not be continuous* with respect to $\overline{\rho}$ and σ. All one can say in general is

Lemma 3.3. $\sigma(\overline{\pi}(f)) \le C^k\overline{\rho}_k(f)\ \forall\ f \in S(V)_k$.

Proof. Suppose $f = \sum_i f_{i1}\cdots f_{ik}$, $f_{ij} \in V$. Then $\overline{\pi}(f) = \sum_i \pi(f_{i1})\cdots\pi(f_{ik})$ so $\sigma(\overline{\pi}(f)) \le \sum_i \sigma(\pi(f_{i1}))\cdots\sigma(\pi(f_{ik})) \le C^k \sum_i \rho(f_{i1})\cdots\rho(f_{ik})$. This implies $\sigma(\overline{\pi}(f)) \le C^k\overline{\rho}_k(f)$. □

Of course, if the operator norm of π with respect to ρ and σ is ≤ 1 (i.e., if one can choose $C \leq 1$) then $\overline{\pi}$ is continuous with respect to $\overline{\rho}$ and σ.

Proposition 3.4. *If $\pi : (V, \rho) \to (A, \sigma)$ has operator norm ≤ 1, then the induced algebra homomorphism $\overline{\pi} : (S(V), \overline{\rho}) \to (A, \sigma)$ has operator norm $\leq \sigma(1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and our assumption concerning the operator norm of π, $\sigma(\overline{\pi}(f)) \leq \overline{\rho}_k(f)$ for all $f \in S(V)_k$, $k \geq 1$. Now let $f = \sum_{k=0}^m f_k$, $f_k \in S(V)_k$, $k = 0, \dots, m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\overline{\pi}(f)) = \sigma(\sum_{k=0}^m \overline{\pi}(f_k)) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^m \sigma(\overline{\pi}(f_k)) \leq \sigma(\overline{\pi}(f_0)) + \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{\rho}_k(f_k) \\ =&\sigma(f_0) + \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{\rho}_k(f_k) \leq \sigma(f_0) + \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma(1)\overline{\rho}_k(f_k) = \sigma(1)\sum_{k=0}^m \overline{\rho}_k(f_i) = \sigma(1)\overline{\rho}(f).\end{aligned}$$

We are assuming here that σ is not identically zero (so $\sigma(1) \geq 1$). If σ is identically zero the result is trivial. $\square$

The character space $X(S(V))$ of $S(V)$ can be identified with the algebraic dual $V^* = \operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ of V by identifying $\alpha \in X(S(V))$ with $v^* \in V^*$ if $\alpha = \overline{v^*}$, equivalently, if $v^* = \alpha|_V$. The topology on V^* is the weak topology, i.e., the weakest topology such that $v^* \in V^* \mapsto v^*(f) \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous $\forall f \in V$. If we fix a basis x_i, $i \in \Omega$ for V, then $S(V)$ is equal to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x_i : i \in \Omega]$, $V^* = \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ endowed with the product topology, and the ring homomorphism $\alpha : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to $v^* \in V^*$ is evaluation at v^*. We are interested here in the Gelfand spectrum $\mathfrak{sp}(\overline{\rho})$.

Proposition 3.5. *$\mathfrak{sp}(\overline{\rho})$ is naturally identified with the closed ball $\overline{B}_1(\rho')$. Here ρ' denotes the operator norm on V^*, i.e., $\rho'(v^*) := \inf\{C \in [0, \infty) : |v^*(w)| \leq C\rho(w)\ \forall w \in V\}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{sp}(\overline{\rho})$. Thus $\alpha : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra homomorphism which is $\overline{\rho}$-continuous, i.e., $|\alpha(f)| \leq \overline{\rho}(f)\ \forall\ f \in S(V)$. Clearly this implies that $|\alpha|_V(f)| \leq \rho(f)\ \forall\ f \in V$, so $\rho'(\alpha|_V) \leq 1$. Suppose conversely that $v^* \in V^*$, $\rho'(v^*) \leq 1$. Denote by α the unique extension of v^* to an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra homomorphism $\alpha : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$. Observe that $|\alpha(f)| = |v^*(f)| \leq \rho(f)\ \forall\ f \in V$ so $|\alpha(f)| \leq \overline{\rho}(f)\ \forall\ f \in S(V)$, by Proposition 3.4. Thus $\alpha \in \mathfrak{sp}(\overline{\rho})$. $\square$

Example 3.6. Fix a basis x_i, $i \in \Omega$ for V and a real number $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let ρ be the ℓ_p-norm on V relative to this basis, i.e.,

$$\rho(\sum_{i\in\Omega} a_i x_i) := \left(\sum_{i\in\Omega} |a_i|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

If $p = 1$ then $\overline{B}_1(\rho') = [-1, 1]^\Omega$. If $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then

$$\overline{B}_1(\rho') = \{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega : \sum_{i\in\Omega} |a_i|^q \leq 1\}.$$

Corollary 3.7. *For each seminormed* $\mathbb{R}$*-vector space* (V,ρ) *and each integer* $d \geq 1$ *there is a natural one-to-one correspondence* $L \leftrightarrow \mu$ *given by* $L(f) = \int \hat{f} d\mu \ \forall\ f \in S(V)$ *between* $\overline{\rho}$*-continuous linear functionals* $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ *satisfying* $L(\sum S(V)^{2d}) \subseteq [0,\infty)$ *and positive Radon measures* μ *on* V^* *supported by* $\overline{B}_1(\rho')$*. For any* $2d$*-power module* M *of* $S(V)$*, if* $L \leftrightarrow \mu$ *under this correspondence then* L *is*M*-positive iff* μ *is supported by* $X_M \cap \overline{B}_1(\rho')$*. Here,*

$$X_M \cap \overline{B}_1(\rho') := \{v^* \in \overline{B}_1(\rho') : \hat{g}(\overline{v^*}) \geq 0 \ \forall g \in M\}.$$

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.5 this is a direct application of Theorem 2.5. □

Proposition 3.8. *If* ρ *is a norm then* $\overline{\rho}$ *is a norm.*

Proof. It suffices to show $\overline{\rho}_k$ is a norm for each $k \geq 0$. For $k \in \{0,1\}$ this is clear. Fix $k \geq 2$ and $f \in S(V)_k$, $f \neq 0$. Fix a basis x_i, $i \in \Omega$ for V. Thus f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k in finitely many variables $x_{i_1},\dots,x_{i_n}$, $i_1,\dots,i_n \in \Omega$. Since $f \neq 0$, there exists some non-zero $(a_{i_1},\dots,a_{i_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(a_{i_1},\dots,a_{i_n}) \neq 0$ [23, Proposition 1.1.1]. Since f is homogeneous of degree k, $f(ra_{i_1},\dots,ra_{i_n}) = r^k f(a_{i_1},\dots,a_{i_n}) \neq 0$ for all non-zero $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $W \subseteq V$ be the linear span of $x_{i_1},\dots,x_{i_n}$ and let $\phi : W \to \mathbb{R}$ be the linear functional defined by $\phi(x_{i_j}) = a_{i_j}$, $j = 1,\dots,n$. Since W is finite dimensional and $\rho|_W$ is a norm (because ρ is a norm), any linear functional on W is $\rho|_W$-continuous. In particular, ϕ is $\rho|_W$-continuous so, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, ϕ extends to a ρ-continuous linear functional $\Phi : V \to \mathbb{R}$ having the same operator norm as ϕ. Scaling, we can assume Φ and ϕ both have operator norm 1. Thus $\Phi \in \overline{B}_1(\rho')$. Let α be the element of $\mathfrak{sp}(\overline{\rho})$ corresponding to Φ. Then $\alpha(f) = f(a_{i_1},\dots,a_{i_n}) \neq 0$. Since $0 < |\alpha(f)| \leq \overline{\rho}(f)$, this implies $\overline{\rho}_k(f) = \overline{\rho}(f) > 0$. □

4. Background on LC Topologies and LMC Topologies

We begin by recalling some terminology. Let V be an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. For two seminorms ρ_1 and ρ_2 on V, we write $\rho_1 \succeq \rho_2$ to indicate that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$C\rho_1(v) \geq \rho_2(v) \ \forall\ v \in V.$$

The *maximum* of ρ_1 and ρ_2 is the seminorm $\rho = \max\{\rho_1,\rho_1\}$ on V defined by

$$\rho(v) := \max\{\rho_1(v),\rho_2(v)\} \ \forall\ v \in V.$$

A *locally convex* (lc) topology on V is just the topology on V generated by some family $\mathcal{S}$ of seminorms on V, i.e., it is the weakest topology on V such that each $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ is continuous. Closing $\mathcal{S}$ up under the taking of max does not change the topology. In view of this, there is no harm in assuming, from the beginning, that the family $\mathcal{S}$ is *directed,* i.e.,

$$\forall\ \rho_1,\rho_2 \in \mathcal{S},\ \exists\ \rho \in \mathcal{S} \text{ such that } \rho \succeq \max\{\rho_1,\rho_2\}.$$

With this assumption, the open balls

$$U_r(\rho) := \{v \in V : \rho(v) < r\},\ \rho \in \mathcal{S},\ r > 0$$

form a basis of neighbourhoods of zero (not just a subbasis).

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose τ is a locally convex topology on V generated by a directed family $\mathcal{S}$ of seminorms of V and $L : V \to \mathbb{R}$ is a τ-continuous linear functional. Then there exists $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ such that L is ρ-continuous (and conversely, of course).*

Proof. This is well-known. The set $\{v \in V : |L(v)| < 1\}$ is an open neighbourhood of 0 in V so there exists $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ and $r > 0$ such that $U_r(\rho) \subseteq \{v \in V : |L(v)| < 1\}$. Then $U_{r\epsilon}(\rho) = \epsilon U_r(\rho)$ so $L(U_{r\epsilon}(\rho)) = L(\epsilon U_r(\rho)) = \epsilon L(U_r(\rho)) \subseteq \epsilon(-1,1) = (-\epsilon,\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, i.e., L is ρ-continuous. □

A *locally multiplicatively convex* (lmc) topology τ on an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra A is just the topology on A generated by some family $\mathcal{S}$ of submultiplicative seminorms on A. See [1, Section 4.3-2], [21, Theorem 3.1] or [24, Lemma 1.2] for more detail on lmc topologies. Again, we can always assume that the family $\mathcal{S}$ is directed.

Let τ be an lmc topology on an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra A. We denote the Gelfand spectrum of (A,τ), i.e., the set of all τ-continuous $\alpha \in X(A)$, by $\mathfrak{sp}(\tau)$ for short. Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$\mathfrak{sp}(\tau) = \bigcup_{\rho\in\mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{sp}(\rho).$$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is directed, this union is directed by inclusion. Theorem 2.4 extends to general lmc topologies in an obvious way: If M is any $2d$-power module of A then

$$\overline{M}^{\tau} = \operatorname{Pos}(X_M \cap \mathfrak{sp}(\tau)).$$

Here, $\overline{M}^{\tau}$ denotes the closure of M with respect to τ. In particular,

$$\overline{\sum A^{2d}}^{\tau} = \operatorname{Pos}(\mathfrak{sp}(\tau)).$$

See [10, Theorem 5.4]. Theorem 2.5 also extends to general lmc topologies. By Lemma 4.1, the unique Radon measure μ corresponding to a τ-continuous linear functional $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$ such that L is M-positive is supported by the compact set $X_M \cap \mathfrak{sp}(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$. Indeed, for $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$, μ is supported by $X_M \cap \mathfrak{sp}(\rho)$ iff L is ρ-continuous.

5. LMC Topologies on Symmetric Algebras

Let V be an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. For a seminorm ρ on V, we consider the extension of ρ to a submultiplicative seminorm $\overline{\rho}$ of $S(V)$ defined in Section 3.

For seminorms ρ_1, ρ_2 on V, it is important to note that $\rho_1 \succeq \rho_2$ does not imply in general that $\overline{\rho_1} \succeq \overline{\rho_2}$ but only that $\overline{C\rho_1} \succeq \overline{\rho_2}$ for some $C > 0$. This follows from Proposition 3.4 applied to the inclusion $(V, C\rho_1) \hookrightarrow (S(V), \overline{\rho_2})$, where $C > 0$ is such that $C\rho_1 \geq \rho_2$ on V.

Let τ be any locally convex topology on V. We claim there is a unique finest lmc topology $\overline{\tau}$ on $S(V)$ extending τ. This is pretty clear at this point. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a family of seminorms on V defining τ. We may assume $\mathcal{S}$ is directed. Denote by $\overline{\tau}$ the lmc topology on $S(V)$ determined by the directed family of submultiplicative seminorms $\overline{i\rho}$, $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Proposition 5.1. *$\overline{\tau}$ extends τ and is the finest lmc topology on $S(V)$ with this property.*

Proof. The sets $U_r(\rho)$, $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$, $r > 0$ form a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 in (V, τ), and the sets $U_r(\overline{i\rho})$, $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$, $i \geq 1$, $r > 0$ form a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 in $(S(V), \overline{\tau})$. Since $U_r(\overline{i\rho}) \cap V = U_{\frac{r}{i}}(\rho)$ it is clear that $\overline{\tau}$ extends τ. That τ is the finest lmc topology with this property is a consequence of Proposition 3.4: If N is any submultiplicative seminorm on $S(V)$ such that the topology induced by $N|_V$ is coarser than τ then $\exists\ \rho \in \mathcal{S}$ and $i \geq 1$ such that $N(f) \leq i\rho(f)\ \forall\ f \in V$. By Proposition 3.4 applied to the inclusion $(V, i\rho) \hookrightarrow (S(V), N)$ we get that $N(f) \leq N(1)\overline{i\rho}(f)$, $\forall\ f \in S(V)$. This implies the topology induced by N is coarser than that induced by $\overline{i\rho}$. ☐

In view of Lemma 4.1 every $\overline{\tau}$-continuous linear functional $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\overline{i\rho}$-continuous for some $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ and some $i \geq 1$ (and conversely, of course) so Corollary 3.7 can be applied directly to characterize $\overline{\tau}$-continuous linear functionals $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $L(\sum S(V)^{2d}) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ in terms of measures. Note also that $(i\rho)' = \frac{1}{i}\rho'$ so

$$\mathfrak{sp}(\overline{i\rho}) = \overline{B}_1((i\rho)') = \overline{B}_i(\rho').$$

Consequently,

$$\mathfrak{sp}(\overline{\tau}) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1, \rho \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{sp}(\overline{i\rho}) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1, \rho \in \mathcal{S}} \overline{B}_1((i\rho)') = \bigcup_{i \geq 1, \rho \in \mathcal{S}} \overline{B}_i(\rho').$$

Corollary 5.2. *Let τ be the locally convex topology on an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space V defined by a directed family $\mathcal{S}$ of seminorms on V. For each integer $d \geq 1$ there is a natural one-to-one correspondence $L \leftrightarrow \mu$ given by $L(f) = \int \hat{f} d\mu$ $\forall\ f \in S(V)$ between $\overline{\tau}$-continuous linear functionals $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $L(\sum S(V)^{2d}) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ and positive Radon measures μ on V^* supported by $\overline{B}_i(\rho')$ for some $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ and some integer $i \geq 1$. If μ is supported by $\overline{B}_i(\rho')$ then L is $\overline{i\rho}$-continuous, and conversely. For any $2d$-power module M of $S(V)$, if $L \leftrightarrow \mu$ under this correspondence then L is M-positive iff μ is supported by $X_M \cap \overline{B}_i(\rho')$. Here,*

$$X_M \cap \overline{B}_i(\rho') := \{v^* \in \overline{B}_i(\rho') : \hat{g}(\overline{v^*}) \geq 0\ \forall g \in M\}.$$

Example 5.3.

(1) There is special interest in the case where τ is the finest locally convex topology of V. In this case $\overline{\tau}$ is the finest lmc topology of $S(V)$.

(2) Suppose V is finite dimensional with basis $x_1, \dots, x_n$, so $S(V) = \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$ and $V^* = \mathbb{R}^n$. The finest locally convex topology on V is generated by any fixed norm ρ of V. The singleton set $\{\rho\}$ is obviously directed, so $\{\overline{i\rho} : i \geq 1\}$ generates the finest lmc topology on $S(V)$.

(3) To handle the general case, fix a basis x_i, $i \in \Omega$ for V, so $S(V) = \mathbb{R}[x_i : i \in \Omega]$ and $V^* = \mathbb{R}^\Omega$. For $r = (r_i)_{i\in\Omega} \in (0,\infty)^\Omega$, let ρ_r denote the associated weighted ℓ_1-norm on V, i.e.,

$$\rho_r(\sum_{i\in\Omega} a_i x_i) := \sum_{i\in\Omega} |a_i| r_i.$$

If ρ is any seminorm on V and $\rho(x_i) \leq r_i \ \forall\, i \in \Omega$ then $\rho \preceq \rho_r$. This is clear. If $f = \sum_{i\in\Omega} a_i x_i$ then

$$\rho(f) \leq \sum_{i\in\Omega} \rho(a_i x_i) = \sum_{i\in\Omega} |a_i| \rho(x_i) \leq \sum_{i\in\Omega} |a_i| r_i = \rho_r(f).$$

It follows that the set $\{\rho_r : r \in (0,\infty)^\Omega\}$ generates the finest locally convex topology on V. Since this set is directed and $j\rho_r = \rho_{jr}$, for any $j \geq 1$, $\{\overline{\rho_r} : r \in (0,\infty)^\Omega\}$ generates the finest lmc topology on $S(V)$. Observe that

$$\overline{B}_1(\rho_r') = \prod_{i\in\Omega} [-r_i, r_i].$$

Recall also that the monomials $x^k := \prod_{i\in\Omega} x_i^{k_i}$ form a basis for $S(V)$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 5.4. $\overline{\rho}_r(\sum a_k x^k) = \sum |a_k| r^k$,*where* r^k *denotes the result of evaluating* x^k *at* $x = r$, *i.e.* $r^k := \prod_{i\in\Omega} r_i^{k_i}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\rho}_r(\sum a_k x^k) := \sum |a_k| r^k$. Clearly $\tilde{\rho}_r$ is a submultiplicative seminorm on $S(V)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_r|_V = \rho_r$, so $\tilde{\rho}_r \preceq \overline{\rho}_r$, by Proposition 3.4. On the other hand, the definition of $\overline{\rho}_r$ implies that $\overline{\rho}_r \preceq \tilde{\rho}_r$. □

6. COMPARISON WITH RESULTS IN [3], [4], [15] AND [16]

We assume in this section that (V,τ) is a nuclear space of the special sort considered in [3, Vol. II, Chapter 5, Section 2], [4], [15, Section 3] and [16]. Namely, (V,τ) is assumed to be:

- separable
- the projective limit of a family $(H_s)_{s\in S}$ of Hilbert spaces (S is an index set containing 0) which is directed by topological embedding and such that each H_s is embedded topologically into H_0
- nuclear, i.e., for each $s_1 \in S$ there exists $s_2 \in S$ such that the embedding $H_{s_2} \hookrightarrow H_{s_1}$ is quasi-nuclear.

Thus τ is the locally convex topology on V induced by the directed family $\mathcal{S}$ of norms of V, where $\mathcal{S}$ consists of the norms on V which are induced by the embeddings $V \hookrightarrow H_s$, $s \in S$. In [3], [15], [16], the topology τ is referred to as the *projective topology* on V.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* (V, τ) *be a nuclear space of the special sort described above and let* $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a linear functional. Assume*

(1) $L(\sum S(V)^2) \subseteq [0, \infty)$*;*

(2) for each $k \geq 0$ *the restriction map* $L : S(V)_k \to \mathbb{R}$ *is continuous with respect to the locally convex topology* $\overline{\tau}_k$ *on* $S(V)_k$ *induced by the norms* $\{\overline{\rho}_k : \rho \in \mathcal{S}\}$*; and*

(3) there exists a countable subset E *of* V *whose linear span is dense in* (V, τ) *such that, if*

$$m_0 := \sqrt{L(1)}, \text{ and } m_k := \sqrt{\sup_{f_1,\dots,f_{2k} \in E} |L(f_1 \dots f_{2k})|}, \text{ for } k \geq 1,$$

then the class $C\{m_k\}$ *is quasi-analytic.*

Then there exists a Radon measure μ *on the dual space* V^* *supported by the topological dual* V' *of* (V, τ) *such that* $L(f) = \int \hat{f} d\mu \ \forall\ f \in S(V)$*.*

Proof. See [3, Vol. II, Theorem 2.1] and [4]. □

Remark 6.2.

(1) By definition, $\overline{\rho}_k$ is the quotient norm induced by the norm $\rho^{\otimes k}$ on $V^{\otimes k}$ via the surjective linear map $\pi_k : V^{\otimes k} \to S(V)_k$. It follows that, for any linear map $L : S(V)_k \to \mathbb{R}$, L is $\overline{\rho}_k$-continuous iff $L \circ \pi_k : V^{\otimes k} \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\rho^{\otimes k}$-continuous. This is clear.

(2) In [3], [4], [15], [16] the topology on $V^{\otimes k}$ is described in terms of the natural inner products on the $H_s^{\otimes k}$, $s \in S$. The fact that the continuity assumption (2) of Theorem 6.1 coincides with what is written in [3, Vol. II, Theorem 2.1] follows from a well-known consequence of the nuclearity assumption: namely that all cross norms on $V^{\otimes k}$ define the same topology; see [13, Chapitre 2, Théorème 8] or [29, Theorem 50.1].

(3) Condition (2) of Theorem 6.1 is equivalent to the assumption $m \in \mathcal{F}(V')$ in [15] and [16]. This is nothing but a short way to express the assumption in [3] and [4] on the starting sequence $m = (m^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ to be such that each $m^{(n)} \in (V^{\otimes n})'$ is a symmetric functional in its $n-$variables. Conditions (2) and (3) combined are equivalent to the so-called *determining* condition in [15] and [16] (resp. *definiteness* condition in [3] and [4]).

(4) L extends by continuity to $L : \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{S(V)}_k \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\overline{S(V)}_k$ denotes the completion of $(S(V)_k, \overline{\tau}_k)$. As pointed out in [3], [4], [15], the Radon measure μ obtained actually satisfies $L(f) = \int \hat{f} d\mu \ \forall\ f \in \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{S(V)}_k$.

(5) The proof of Theorem 6.1 shows that the measure μ is supported by H_{-s}, the Hilbert space dual of H_s, for some index $s \in S$ depending on L, see [3, Remark 1, Page 72]. Observe that H_{-s} is a countable increasing union of closed balls. Since each such ball is compact in the weak topology, by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem [26, Theorem 3.15], it follows that H_{-s} is a Borel set in V^*.

(6) In general it is not known if the measure μ is unique. If the topological dual V' of (V, τ) is a Suslin space then the measure μ is unique [15, Theorem 3.6].

(7) There is no harm in assuming that the elements of E are chosen to be linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$, say $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Then one can show, for all $k \geq 1$, that

$$m_k = \sqrt{\sup_{i\geq 1}\{|L(x_i^{2k})|\}}.$$

This follows from the assumption $L(\sum S(V)^2) \subseteq [0,\infty)$, using the Hurwitz-Reznick theorem. Indeed, by homogenizing [7, Corollary 3.1.11] in the obvious way, one gets

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i^{2k} \pm 2k \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\alpha_i}$$

is a sum of squares, so

$$\left| L(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\alpha_i}) \right| \leq \max\{L(x_1^{2k}), \dots, L(x_n^{2k})\}.$$

Here, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are arbitrary integers satisfying $\alpha_i \geq 0$ and $\sum \alpha_i = 2k$.

(8) Corollary 5.2 is simultaneously more general and less general than Theorem 6.1. It is more general because (V, τ) can be any locally convex topological space (not just a separable nuclear space) and the result holds for arbitrary $2d$-powers (not just squares). It is less general because it is necessary to assume that $L : S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\overline{\tau}$-continuous.

(9) The assumption that L is $\overline{\tau}$-continuous is very strong. It implies not only that the restriction of L to $S(V)_k$ is $\overline{\tau}_k$-continuous, for each $k \geq 0$, but also the following strong form of quasi-analyticity: By Lemma 4.1 there exists $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ and $C > 0$ such that $|L(f)| \leq C\overline{\rho}(f)$ for all $f \in S(V)$. Then, for any $k \geq 1$ and any $f_1, \dots, f_k \in V$, if $\rho(f_i) \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, then

$$|L(f_1 \cdots f_k)| \leq C\overline{\rho}(f_1 \cdots f_k) \leq C\rho(f_1) \cdots \rho(f_k) \leq C.$$

(10) In particular, if L is $\overline{\tau}$-continuous and (V, τ) is separable, then conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 6.1 hold. The fact that condition (2) holds is clear. Separability implies there exists a countable dense subset W of V. Taking

$$E := \{f \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} W : \rho(f) \leq 1\}.$$

we get the non-empty, countable subset of V fulfilling condition (3).

(11) Corollary 5.2 provides better information about the support of the measure than does Theorem 6.1. An improvement of Theorem 6.1 in this regard is proved in [16, Theorem 2.3] in the special case where $V = \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support contained in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Note that in [16, Theorem 2.3] the functional L is not assumed to be $\overline{\tau}-$continuous as in Corollary 5.2. Moreover, [16, Theorem 2.3] holds for arbitrary quadratic modules and not just squares as Theorem 6.1.

References

[1] E. Beckenstein, L. Narici, C. Suffel, Topological algebras, *North-Holland Math. Stud.* **24**, Elsevier Sci. Publ., Amsterdam, 1977.

[2] E. Becker, N. Schwartz, Zum Darstellungssatz von Kadison-Dubois. *Arch. Math.* **39** 421–428 (1983).

[3] Y. M. Berezansky, Y. G. Kondratiev, Spectral methods in infinite-dimensional analysis. Translated from the 1988 Russian original by P. V. Malyshev and D. V. Malyshev and revised by the authors. *Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics* **12**. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.

[4] Y. M. Berezansky, S. N. Šifrin, A generalized symmetric power moment problem. (Russian) *Ukrain. Mat. Ž.* **23**, 291–306, (1971).

[5] C. Berg, J.P.R. Christensen, P. Ressel, Positive definite functions on abelian semigroups, *Math. Ann.* **223**, 253–272, (1976).

[6] H. J. Borchers, J. Yngvason, Integral representations for Schwinger functionals and the moment problem over nuclear spaces *Comm. Math. Phys.* **43**, no. 3, 255–271 (1975).

[7] M. Ghasemi, Polynomial Optimization and Moment Problem, Doctoral Thesis, University of Saskatchewan, 2012.

[8] M. Ghasemi, S. Kuhlmann, Closure of the cone of sums of 2d-powers in real topological algebras, *J. Funct. Analysis*, **264**, 413–427 (2013)

[9] M. Ghasemi, S. Kuhlmann, E. Samei, The Moment Problem for Continuous Positive Semidefinite Linear Functionals, *Archiv der Math.*, **100**, 43–53 (2013)

[10] M. Ghasemi, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, Application of Jacobi's representation theorem to locally multiplicatively convex topological $\mathbb{R}$-algebras, *J. Funct. Analysis* **266** no. 2, 1041–1049 (2014).

[11] M. Ghasemi, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, Moment problem in infinitely many variables, to appear in *Israel J. Math.*

[12] M. Ghasemi, M. Marshall, Sven Wagner, Closure of the cone of sums of $2d$-powers in certain weighted ℓ_1-seminorm topologies, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **57** no. 2, 289–302 (2014).

[13] A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**, (1955), 140 pp.

[14] G. C. Hegerfeldt, Extremal decomposition of Wightman functions and of states on nuclear *-algebras by Choquet theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **45**, no. 2, 133–135 (1975).

[15] M. Infusino, Quasi-analyticity and determinacy of the full moment problem from finite to infinite dimensions, arXiv:1405.3573, to appear in Trends in Mathematics.

[16] M. Infusino, T. Kuna, A. Rota, The full infinite dimensional moment problem on semi-algebraic sets of generalized functions, *J. Funct. Analysis* **267** no. 5, 1382–1418 (2014).

[17] T. Jacobi, A representation theorem for certain partially ordered commutative rings. *Math. Z.* **237**, 259–273 (2001).

[18] T. Jacobi, A. Prestel, Distinguished representations of strictly positive polynomials. *J. reine angew. Math.* **532** 223-235 (2001).

[19] J.L. Krivine, Anneaux préordonnés. *J. Analyse Math.* **12**, 307–326 (1964).

[20] J.B. Lasserre, The K-moment problem for continuous functionals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365**, 2489–2504 (2013).

[21] A. Mallios, Topological algebras. Selected topics, *North Holland Math. Stud.* **124**, Elsevier Sci. Publ., Amsterdam, 1986.

[22] M. Marshall, A general representation theorem for partially ordered commutative rings. *Math. Z.* **242**, 217–225 (2002).

[23] M. Marshall, Positive polynomials and sums of squares, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **146**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[24] E.A. Michael, Locally multiplicatively convex topological algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11**, 1952.

[25] M. Putinar, Positive polynomials on compact sets, *Ind. Univ. Math. J.* **42**, 969–984 (1993).

[26] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, Second Edition, *McGraw-Hill Co*, 1991.

[27] K. Schmüdgen, Positive cones in enveloping algebras, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **14**, no. 3, 385–404 (1978).

[28] K. Schmüdgen, Unbounded operator algebras and representation theory, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 37, *Birkhäuser Verlag*, Basel, 1990.

[29] F. Trèves, Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels, *Academic Press*, New York, 1967.

[30] S. Willard, General Topology, *Addison-Wesley Publishing Co.*, Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont. 1970.

* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN,
SASKATOON, SK. S7N 5E6, CANADA
E-mail address: `mehdi.ghasemi@usask.ca`

† FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND STATISTIK,
UNIVERSITÄT KONSTANZ,
78457 KONSTANZ, GERMANY.
E-mail address: `maria.infusino@uni-konstanz.de, salma.kuhlmann@uni-konstanz.de`